arXiv:1408.5534v2 [math.DG] 25 Nov 2014

SAGITTA, LENSES, AND MAXIMAL VOLUME

CURTIS PRO

Abstract. We give a characterization of critical points that allows us to define a metric invariant on all Riemannian manifolds M with a lower sectional curvature bound and an upper radius bound. We show there is a uniform upper volume bound for all such manifolds with an upper bound on this invariant. We generalize results by Grove and Petersen and by Sill, Wilhelm, and the author by showing any such M that has volume sufficiently close to this upper bound is diffeomorphic to the standard sphere S^n or a standard lens space $S^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ where $m \in \{2, 3, \ldots\}$ is no larger than an a priori constant.

Given a point p in a metric space X, the *radius* of X is defined to be the number

$$\operatorname{rad} X := \inf_{p\in X} \sup_{q\in X} \operatorname{dist}(p, q).$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and S^n_k denote the simply connected space form of constant sectional curvature k. If M^n is a Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature bounded below by k, it follows from usual volume comparison that

$$\operatorname{vol} M \leq \operatorname{vol} D^n_k(\operatorname{rad} M)$$

where $D^n_k(r) \subset S^n_k$ denotes the disk of radius r. This gives a uniform upper volume bound for the class $\mathcal{M}^n_{k,r}$ of all Riemannian n-manifolds M with $\sec M \geq k$ and $\operatorname{rad} M \leq r$.

In [3], Grove and Petersen showed if $r \leq \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} S^n_k$ ($= \infty$ if $k \leq 0$ and $\frac{1}{2}\pi/\sqrt{k}$ otherwise) is a real number, then any $M \in \mathcal{M}^n_{k,r}$ with volume sufficiently close to $D^n_k(r)$, must topologically be a sphere or real projective space.

In this work we define a metric invariant of $M \in \mathcal{M}^n_{k,r}$ denoted by $\operatorname{sag}_r M$ which we called the *r-sagitta* of M. The definition of $\operatorname{sag}_r M$ is based on a characterization of critical points given in Proposition 1 below and shares similarities with the following notion from classical geometry. If C is a circular arc of radius r, the *sagitta* of C is defined to be the distance h in the plane between the midpoint of the chord through the boundary of C and the midpoint of C.

In an analogous way that $D^n_k(r)$ serves as an extremal model for manifolds in $\mathcal{M}^n_{k,r}$, given $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in S^n_k$ and $h, r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} S^n_k]$ with $|\tilde{a}\tilde{b}| = 2(r - h)$, the lens-like set

$$L^n_k(h, r) := D^n_k(\tilde{a}, r) \cap D^n_k(\tilde{b}, r) \subset S^n_k$$

(See Figure 1) serves as an extremal model for manifolds in $\mathcal{M}^n_{k,r}$ with the additional constraint $\operatorname{sag}_r M \leq h$. More precisely, our main result is

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C20.

Key words and phrases. Lower Curvature bound, Comparison Geometry, Maximal Volume Diffeomorphism Stability, Alexandrov Geometry.

Theorem 1. *Let $n \geq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $h, r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k]$ be any real numbers with $h \leq r$. Let $\mathcal{M}^n_{k,r,h}$ denote the class of all Riemannian n-manifolds satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} k \leq \sec M \\ \mathrm{rad}\, M \leq r \\ \mathrm{sag}_r M \leq h \end{cases}$$

then,

(1) For every $M \in \mathcal{M}^n_{k,r,h}$ the volume of M satisfies

$$\mathrm{vol}\, M \leq \mathrm{vol}\, L^n_k(h, r).$$

(2) There is an $\varepsilon(n, k, h, r) > 0$, and an integer $c = c(n, k, h, r) \geq 2$ so that for every $M \in \mathcal{M}^n_{k,r,h}$ if

$$\mathrm{vol}\, M > \mathrm{vol}\, L^k_n(h, r) - \varepsilon,$$

then M is diffeomorphic to either
(a) S^n,
(b) $\mathbb{R}P^n$ if n is even, or
(c) A Lens space $S^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ where $2 \leq m \leq c$ if n is odd.

(3) Each manifold N in the conclusion of Part (2) admits a sequence of metrics in $\mathcal{M}^n_{k,r,h}$ with volume converging to $\mathrm{vol}\, L^n_k(h, r)$, but no $N \in \mathcal{M}^n_{k,r,h}$ satisfies the equality $\mathrm{vol}\, N = \mathrm{vol}\, L^n_k(h, r)$ unless $k > 0$, $r = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$, and h divides $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$.

Given a point $q \in M$ and subset $A \subset M$, by $\Uparrow^A_q$ we mean the set of all unit vectors in T_qM tangent to segments from q to $p \in A$. Recall that a point $q \in M$ is said to be critical to $p \in M$, in the sense of [4], provided $\Uparrow^p_q \subset S^{n-1}_1$ is a $\pi/2$-net. Given $p \in M$, we let $\mathcal{C}(p)$ denote all points $q \neq p$ that are critical to p.

In what follows, we will assume M is compact, $\sec M \geq k \in \mathbb{R}$, and M is not isometric to S^n_k. This implies for every $p \in M$, $\exp_p$ will be surjective on the ball $B(o_p, \mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k) \subset T_pM$, where o_p is the origin of T_pM. Metrically, we identify $B(o_p, \mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k) \subset T_pM$ with the ball $B^n_k(\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k) \subset S^n_k$ and denote this set by $B^n_k(p)$. We note, by [4], if $q \in \mathcal{C}(p)$ satisfies $\mathrm{dist}(p, q) > \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$, then $\mathcal{C}(p) = \{q\}$.

Proposition 1. *Let $p \in M$ and suppose all $q \in \mathcal{C}(p)$ satisfy $\mathrm{dist}(p, q) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$. Then for each $q \in \mathcal{C}(p)$, there is a number $\mathrm{cr}_p(q) \in I := [\mathrm{dist}(p, q), \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k]$ and for every $v_q \in \Uparrow^q_p$, there is a closed convex subset $D_{v_q} \subset B^n_k(p)$ so that:*

(1) The exponential map

$$\exp_p : \bigcap_{q \in \mathcal{C}(p), v_q \in \Uparrow^q_p} D_{v_q} \to M$$

is surjective.

(2) For all $v_q, w_q \in \Uparrow^q_p$, the sets D_{v_q} and D_{w_q} are isometric.

(3) If $\tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}$ is the geodesic in $B^n_k(p)$ defined by $\tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}(0) = o_p$ and $\tilde{\gamma}'_{v_q}(0) = v_q$, then if $\mathrm{cr}_p(q) < \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$, D_{v_q} is the disk given by

$$D_{v_q} = D^n_k(\tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}(\mathrm{dist}(p, q) - \mathrm{cr}_p(q)), \mathrm{cr}_p(q)),$$

or if $\mathrm{cr}_p(q) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$, D_{v_q} is the closed half space given by

$$D_{v_q} = \mathrm{cl}\left(\bigcup_{t \in I} D^n_k\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}(\mathrm{dist}(p, q) - t), t\right)\right)$$

(4) The number $\mathrm{cr}_p(q)$ *depends only on* k *and the distance functions from* p *and* q.
(5) $\mathrm{cr}_p(q) = \mathrm{dist}(p,q)$ *if and only if* q *is a point at maximal distance from* p.

With this Proposition we now make the following

Definition 1. *Suppose* M *satisfies* $\sec M \geq k$ *and* $\mathrm{rad}\, M \leq r$. *We let*

$$\mathrm{sag}_r M := \inf\{\mathrm{dist}(p,q) \mid p \text{ and } q \text{ are mutually critical and } \mathrm{cr}_p(q) \leq r\}$$

and call this number the r-sagitta of M.

Remark 1. *When* $h = r$ *we have* $L_k^n(r,r) = D_k^n(r)$ *and we will show that the constant* c *of Theorem 1 is equal to* 2. *However, the author does not know if* $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^n \subset \mathcal{M}_{k,r,r}^n$ *and therefore Theorem 1 may not give a complete generalization of Theorem A in* [3].

In Section 2, we give a weaker, but more technical alternative to Definition 1. We denote this weaker invariant by $\widetilde{\mathrm{sag}}_r M$ *and let* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{k,r,h}^n$ *denote the class of all Riemannian* n*-manifolds satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} k \leq \sec M \\ \mathrm{rad}\, M \leq r \\ \widetilde{\mathrm{sag}}_r M \leq h \end{cases}.$$

We will show that $\mathcal{M}_{k,r,h}^n \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{k,r,h}^n$ *and that Theorem 1 actually holds for the larger class* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{k,r,h}^n$. *In addition, we show* $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^n \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{k,r,r}^n$, *thus the topological statement of Theorem 1 for this larger class reproduces Theorem A in* [3] *and the smooth statement reproduces the main theorem in* [8].

The advantage of Definition 1 is that it requires fewer prerequisites to state, and up to a possible minor technical detail, it is the desired constraint.

Examples. In this subsection we give examples of Alexandrov spaces X that are the model spaces for manifolds in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{k,r,h}^n$ with volume almost extremal. More precisely, we will show the first example is the only space that can arise as a limit of manifolds $M \in \mathcal{M}_{k,r,h}^n$ that have volume converging to $\mathrm{vol}\, L_k^n(h,r)$, while both examples can occur as such limits of manifolds in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{k,r,h}^n$.

Notation. (See Figures 1 and 2)

Assume $n \geq 2, h \leq r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S_k^n]$, let $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2 \in S_k^n$ such that $|\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2| = 2(r-h)$, $|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_i| = h$, and $|\tilde{a}_i\tilde{q}_i| = r$ and set $L_k^n(h,r) = D_k^n(\tilde{a}_1, r) \cap D_k^n(\tilde{a}_2, r)$. If $h < r$, let H_0 be the totally geodesic hyperplane given by

$$H_0 := \{\tilde{u} \mid |\tilde{u}\tilde{a}_1| = |\tilde{u}\tilde{a}_2|\} \subset S_k^n.$$

and set $P \subset S_k^n$ to be any hyperplane which contains the geodesic through $\tilde{a}_1$ and $\tilde{a}_2$. If $h = r$, i.e., $L_k^n(h,r) = D_k^n(\tilde{a}_1, r)$, take $P = H_0$ to be any hyperplane through $\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_2$.

Define $R_{H_0} : S_k^n \to S_k^n$ and $R_P : S_k^n \to S_k^n$ to be reflections over the hyperplanes H_0 and P, respectively.

For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$C(n-2, m) = \{\phi_{m_i}\}_{i \in I_m} \subset O(n-1)$$

be all isometries of S^{n-2} of order m that, if $m > 1$, generate a cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_m$ that acts freely on S^{n-2}.



FIGURE 1. $L^n_k(h,r)$ depicted as the bold region above.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is the only group which acts freely on even dimensional spheres, $C(n-2,m) = \emptyset$ if $m > 2$ and n is even. Because of this, we will always implicitly assume n is odd whenever making reference to a $\phi_m \in C(n-2,m)$ where $m > 2$.

Example 1. *If $n \geq 2$, any $\phi_m \in C(n-2,m)$, viewed as an isometry of S_0, extends to an isometry of $L^n_k(h,r)$ that fix the points $\tilde{q}_1$ and $\tilde{q}_2$. Therefore, we set*

$$L^n_k(h,r,\phi_m) := L^n_k(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim R_{H_0} \circ \phi_m(\tilde{u})) \text{ with } \tilde{u} \in \partial L^n_k(h,r)$$

and note $L^n_k(h,r,\phi_m)$ is an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by k, and volume equal to $\operatorname{vol} L^n_k(h,r)$.

Remark 2. *If $n \geq 2$ and $\phi_m \in C(n-2,m)$, by scaling S_0 to have constant curvature 1, we can identify the unit sphere S^n in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with the spherical join $S^1 * S_0$ where S^1 is the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$. We can then identify $L^n_k(h,r,\phi_m)$ as the fundamental domain of the free and orthogonal $\mathbb{Z}_m$-action on S^n generated by $\psi \in O(n+1)$ where $\psi(z,x) = (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}} z, \phi_m(x))$ (see Section 4). If $m = 2$, $L^n_k(h,r,\phi_m) \cong \mathbb{R}P^n$ and if $m = 1$, the degenerate Lens space $L^n_k(h,r,\mathrm{id})$ is topologically a sphere. We also note that $L^n_k(r,r,\mathrm{id})$ is the "Curvature k Purse" denoted $P^n_{k,r}$ and $L^n_k(r,r,-\mathrm{id}) \cong \mathbb{R}P^n$ is the "Curvature k Crosscap" denoted $C^n_{k,r}$ that were constructed in* [3].

Example 2. *(see Figure 3) Define*

$$P^n_k(h,r) := L^n_k(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim R_P(\tilde{u})) \text{ where } \tilde{u} \in \partial L^n_k(h,r)$$

and note $P^n_k(h,r) \cong S^n$ is an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by k, and volume equal to $\operatorname{vol} L^n_k(h,r)$. We remark that when $h = r$, this example coincides with $L^n_k(r,r,\mathrm{id})$.

In Section 1 we establish Proposition 1. In Section 2, we define $\widetilde{\operatorname{sag}}_r M$. In Section 3 we establish the volume bound in Part 1 of Theorem 1. In Section 4, using the same ideas as presented in [3], we prove the following convergence theorem

Theorem 2. *Let $n \geq 2$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $h, r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} S^n_k]$ with $h \leq r$ be real numbers. There is an integer $c = c(n,k,h,r) > 0$ so that if $\{M_i\} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n_{k,r,h}$ is a sequence of Riemannian n-manifolds with $\operatorname{vol} M_i \to \operatorname{vol} L^n_k(h,r)$, for some $m \in \{1,\ldots,c\}$ a subsequence of $\{M_i\}$ must converge to either $P^n_k(h,r)$ or $L^n_k(h,r,\phi_m)$ for some $\phi_m \in C(n-2,m)$.*



FIGURE 2. Hyperplanes H_0 and P.

The topological conclusion of Part 2 of Theorem 1, will then following from this theorem and Perelman's Stability Theorem [7, 6]. In Section 4 we establish Part 3 of Theorem 1.

The proof of the diffeomorphism conclusion of Part 2 of Theorem 1 is possible by exploiting the geometry of these limit spaces along the same lines that were achieved in [8]. However, we find that it is more convenient to defer to the following

Theorem 3. *Let X be an n-dimensional Alexandrov space with lower curvature bound k and upper diameter bound D. Let $\{N_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a collection of smoothly and isometrically embedded $(n-2)$-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with smooth boundary such that if $N_i \cap N_j \neq \emptyset$, then $N_i \cap N_j = \partial N_i = \partial N_j$. Let $\{M_\alpha\}$ be a sequence of n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with $\sec M_\alpha \geq k$ and $\operatorname{diam} M_\alpha \leq D$ that converge to X. If the space of directions of every point of $X \setminus (\cup_{i\in I} N_i)$ is isometric to S_1^{n-1}, then for all but finitely many α and β, M_α is diffeomorphic to M_β.*

By "space of directions" at $p \in X$, we mean the metric completion Σ_p of the set of geodesic directions at p with respect to the angle metric (see [1]). Here, we say an embedding $(S, g) \hookrightarrow X$ is smooth and isometric provided for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood N of $\Delta(S) \subset S \times S$ so that

$$\left| D_V \operatorname{dist}^X(\cdot,\cdot)|_{N\setminus\Delta(S)} - D_V \operatorname{dist}^S(\cdot,\cdot)|_{N\setminus\Delta(S)} \right| < \varepsilon \tag{1}$$

for all unit $V \in T(N \setminus \Delta(S))$. The proof of Theorem 3, is established in [9] which is forthcoming.

Singular structure of the limits. To see that Theorem 3 applies to the conclusion of Theorem 2, we describe the singular structure of the limit spaces.

Let $\pi_m : L_k^n(h, r) \to L_k^n(h, r, \phi_m)$ and $p : L_k^n(h, r) \to P_k^n(h, r)$ be the quotient maps.

When $m = 1$ and $\phi_m = \mathrm{id}$, $\pi_1(S_0) \subset L_k^n(h, r, \mathrm{id})$ is a smoothly and isometrically embedded round $(n-2)$-sphere and every point in $L_k^n(h, r, \mathrm{id}) \setminus \pi_1(S_0)$ has a euclidean space of directions.

For $m > 1$, $\pi_m(S_0) \subset L_k^n(h, r, \phi_m)$ is the quotient of S_0 by the free and orthogonal action of $\langle \phi_m \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_m$, so is a smoothly and isometrically embedded constant curvature Lens space $S^{n-2}/\mathbb{Z}_m$. Again, every point of $L_k^n(h, r, \mathrm{id}) \setminus \pi_m(S_0)$ has a euclidean space of directions.



FIGURE 3. One half of $P_k^n(h,r)$ viewed as a doubling.

Suppose $h < r$ and let $P \subset S_k^n$ be the hyperplane such that $P_k^n(h,r) = L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim R_P(\tilde{u}))$, where $\tilde{u} \in \partial L_k^n(h,r)$. As P contains a vector orthogonal to H_0,

$$p(S_0) = S_0/(\tilde{u} \sim R_P(\tilde{u})) \subset P_k^n(h,r)$$

is an isometrically embedded $(n-2)$-disk of constant curvature. In addition, the $(n-2)$-sphere $S_P := P \cap \partial L_k^n(h,r)$ decomposes into two $(n-2)$-disks

$$D_i^P := P \cap \partial L_k^n(h,r) \cap \partial D_k^n(\tilde{a}_i, r),\ i = 1,2,$$

each with constant curvature induced from the metric on $L_k^n(h,r)$. Because $p|_{S_P} = \mathrm{id}$, for $i = 1,2$, we have $p(D_i^P) \subset P_k^n(h,r)$ is a smooth and isometric embedding. Moreover,

$$S^{n-3} \cong \partial p(S_0) = \partial p(D_1^P) = \partial p(D_2^P) \subset P_k^n(h,r).$$

Here, every point in $P_k^n(h,r) \setminus (p(S_0) \cup p(D_1^P) \cup p(D_2^P))$ has a euclidean space of directions (See Figure 3).

Acknowledgement. *The author would like to sincerely thank Vitali Kapovitch and Alex Nabutovsky for their support and for insightful conversations about this work. In addition, he would like to thank Fred Wilhelm for very helpful suggestions on improving the exposition of this manuscript.*

1. Eccentricity and The Segment Domain

If X is a metric space, points in a disk $D(a,r) \subset X$ are expressed as points whose distance from $a \in X$ does not exceed r. The key ingredient in the proof of Proposition 1 is that all points in a metric disk $D_k^n(r) \subset S_k^n$ can also be described geometrically without reference to the distance function from the center of this disk. More precisely, given any two distinct points $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in S_k^n$ all disks $D_k^n(r) \subset S_k^n$ with $\tilde{p} \in D_k^n(r)$, $\tilde{q} \in \partial D_k^n(r)$, and $\partial D_k^n(r)$ orthogonal to the geodesic through $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$ can be expressed in terms the distances from $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$.

To see this, we'll start with the motivating $k = 0$ case. In $\mathbb{R}^2 (= S_0^2)$, Thales' theorem from classical geometry says for any inscribed triangle $\Delta\tilde{q}\tilde{x}\tilde{q}'$ in a circle C of radius r, if $\tilde{q}, \tilde{q}'$ realize the diameter of C, then Δ will have a right angle at $\tilde{x}$. In particular if $\tilde{x} \neq \tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ is the angle of Δ at $\tilde{q}$, this says for every $\tilde{x} \in C \setminus \{\tilde{q}\}$,

$$\frac{\cos(\tilde{\beta})}{|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|} = \frac{1}{2r}.$$

Now suppose $\tilde{p}$ is any point on the diameter between $\tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{q}'$. The Law of Cosines at $\tilde{q}$ says for all points $\tilde{x} \in C \setminus \{\tilde{q}\}$

$$\frac{|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|^2 - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|^2}{|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|^2} = 1 - \frac{2|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|\cos(\tilde{\beta})}{|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|} \tag{2}$$

and so for all points $\tilde{x} \in C \setminus \{\tilde{q}\}$, we have

$$\frac{|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|^2 - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|^2}{|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|^2} \equiv \frac{r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|}{r}. \tag{3}$$

Since the right side of (3) is increasing in r, this says given $\tilde{p} \neq \tilde{q} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, if λ is a number less than 1, there is a point $\tilde{a} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that all points in the circle C with radius $|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|/(1-\lambda)$ can be described by the set

$$\left\{ \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,\middle|\, \frac{|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|^2 - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|^2}{|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|^2} = \lambda \right\} \cup \{\tilde{q}\}.$$

When $\lambda = 1$, this set corresponds to the line through $\tilde{q}$ that is orthogonal to the line between $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$. Monotonicity of the right hand side of (3) also shows all points inside and outside of C can be described by this ratio. Moreover,

$$D\left(\tilde{a}, \frac{|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|}{1-\lambda}\right) \setminus \{\tilde{q}\} = \left\{ \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,\middle|\, \frac{|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|^2 - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|^2}{|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|^2} \leq \lambda \right\} \tag{4}$$

where $\tilde{q} \in \partial D\left(\tilde{a}, \frac{|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|}{1-\lambda}\right)$ and $\tilde{a}$ is on the line between $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$. We note if $\lambda \geq -1$, then $\tilde{p} \in D\left(\tilde{a}, \frac{|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|}{1-\lambda}\right)$.

Equation (3) is the $k = 0$ case of a phenomenon that holds in S^n_k for arbitrary k. Before getting to this, we first need to describe some properties of the distance modifying function $\mathrm{md}_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. This function is defined as the solution to $y'' + ky = 1$ with $y(0) = y'(0) = 0$ and can be explicitly written as

$$\mathrm{md}_k(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-k)^{n-1} \frac{t^{2n}}{(2n)!} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k}(1 - \cos(\sqrt{k}\, t)) & \text{if } k > 0 \\ \frac{t^2}{2} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \frac{1}{|k|}(\cosh(\sqrt{|k|}\, t) - 1) & \text{if } k < 0 \end{cases}. \tag{5}$$

The function md_k allows us to express a unifying formula for the Law of Cosines in S^n_k: given three points $\tilde{a}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in S^n_k$,

$$\mathrm{md}_k(c) = \mathrm{md}_k(a) + \mathrm{md}_k(b) - k\mathrm{md}_k(a)\mathrm{md}_k(b) - \mathrm{md}'_k(a)\mathrm{md}'_k(b)\cos\alpha \tag{6}$$

where $c = |\tilde{x}\tilde{y}|, a = |\tilde{a}\tilde{x}|, b = |\tilde{a}\tilde{y}|$, and $\alpha = \angle\tilde{x}\tilde{a}\tilde{y}$.

From this we can also use md_k to describe the usual formulas from Trigonometry: Applying (6) to any three points on a single geodesic in S^n_k, we have the sum formulas

$$\mathrm{md}_k(a+b) = \mathrm{md}_k(a) + \mathrm{md}_k(b) - k\mathrm{md}_k(a)\mathrm{md}_k(b) - \mathrm{md}'_k(a)\mathrm{md}'_k(b) \tag{7}$$

and if $c = 0$, by (6) we have the Pythagorean Identities

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{md}'_k(t))^2 &= \mathrm{md}_k(t) + \mathrm{md}_k(t) - k\mathrm{md}_k(t)\mathrm{md}_k(t) \\ &= \mathrm{md}_k(t)(1 + \mathrm{md}''_k(t)). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Next we use md_k to express the analog of (2), and since we will refer to it often we state it as

Proposition 2. *Let $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q}, \tilde{x} \in S^n_k$ be distinct and let $\tilde{\beta} = \angle\tilde{p}\tilde{q}\tilde{x}$. Then*

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) - \mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)} = \mathrm{md}''_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|) - \mathrm{md}'_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)\frac{\mathrm{md}'_k(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)}{\mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)}\cos\tilde{\beta}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. As $\mathrm{md}_k'' + k\mathrm{md}_k = 1$, by (6)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) &= \mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|) + \mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)(1 - k\mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)) - \mathrm{md}_k'(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)\mathrm{md}_k'(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)\cos\tilde{\beta} \\ &= \mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|) + \mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)\mathrm{md}_k''(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|) - \mathrm{md}_k'(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)\mathrm{md}_k'(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)\cos\tilde{\beta},\end{aligned}$$

from which the result follows. □

The generalizations of (3) and (4) are now a result of

Proposition 3. *Let $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S_k^n]$ be a real number and let $D_k^n(r) \subset S_k^n$ be a disk of radius r. Let $\tilde{p} \in D_k^n(r)$ and $\tilde{q} \in \partial D_k^n(r)$ be points on a geodesic through the center of $D_k^n(r)$. Consider the constant*

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}_k'(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}_k'(r)} = \begin{cases} \sin(\sqrt{k}(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|))/\sin(\sqrt{k}r) & \text{if } k > 0 \\ (r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)/r & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \sinh(\sqrt{|k|}(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|))/\sinh(\sqrt{|k|}r) & \text{if } k < 0 \end{cases}$$

and the function $f : S_k^n \setminus \{\tilde{q}\} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) - \mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)}.$$

Then

(1) for all $\tilde{x} \in \partial D_k^n(r) \setminus \{\tilde{q}\}$

$$f(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\mathrm{md}_k'(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}_k'(r)},$$

and

(2) if $B_k^n(r)$ denotes the interior of $D_k^n(r)$

$$f|_{B_k^n(r)} < \frac{\mathrm{md}_k'(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}_k'(r)} < f|_{S_k^n \setminus D_k^n(r)}$$

Proof. We drop the "k" from md_k. Let $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{R} \to S_k^2$ be a geodesic and $r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S_k^n]$ a real number. Suppose $\tilde{a}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q}$ are points on the image of $\tilde{\gamma}$ so that $\tilde{p} \in D_k^n(\tilde{a}, r)$ and $\tilde{q} \in \partial D_k^n(\tilde{a}, r)$. Set $h := |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|$.

From (7),

$$\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|) = \mathrm{md}(h) = \mathrm{md}(r - h) + \mathrm{md}(r) - k\mathrm{md}(r - h)\mathrm{md}(r) - \mathrm{md}'(r - h)\mathrm{md}'(r).$$

Now take any $\tilde{x} \in \partial D_k^n(\tilde{a}, r) \setminus \{\tilde{q}\}$ and let $\alpha := \angle\tilde{q}\tilde{a}\tilde{x}$. Then $\alpha = \angle\tilde{p}\tilde{a}\tilde{x}$ if $r - h > 0$ and $\alpha = \pi - \angle\tilde{p}\tilde{a}\tilde{x}$ if $r - h < 0$. Since md is even and md′ is odd, (6) says

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) &= \mathrm{md}(|\tilde{a}\tilde{p}|) + \mathrm{md}(r) - k\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{a}\tilde{p}|)\mathrm{md}(r) - \mathrm{md}'(|\tilde{a}\tilde{p}|)\mathrm{md}'(r)\cos\alpha \\ &= \mathrm{md}(r - h) + \mathrm{md}(r) - k\mathrm{md}(r - h)\mathrm{md}(r) - \mathrm{md}'(r - h)\mathrm{md}'(r)\cos\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

By (6) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|) &= \mathrm{md}(r) + \mathrm{md}(r) - k(\mathrm{md}(r))^2 - (\mathrm{md}'(r))^2\cos\alpha \\ &= (\mathrm{md}'(r))^2(1 - \cos\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Combining the last three displays, it follows that,

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) - \mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)} = \frac{\mathrm{md}'(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}'(r)}, \tag{10}$$

proving Part (1).

Let $\tilde{\sigma} : \mathbb{R} \to S^n_k$ be any geodesic such that $\tilde{\sigma}(0) = \tilde{q}$ and let $\tilde{\beta}$ be the angle between $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ at $\tilde{q}$. By Proposition 2,

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{\sigma}(t)|) - \mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{\sigma}(t)|)} = \mathrm{md}''(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|) - \mathrm{md}'(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)\frac{\mathrm{md}'(|\tilde{q}\tilde{\sigma}(t)|)}{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{\sigma}(t)|)}\cos\tilde{\beta}. \tag{11}$$

Since md and md′ are both nonnegative on $[0, \operatorname{diam} S^n_k]$, and any point $\tilde{x} \in \partial D^n_k(\tilde{a}, r)\backslash\{\tilde{q}\}$ can be connected to $\tilde{q}$ with a segment that makes angle $\leq \pi/2$ with $\tilde{\gamma}$ at $\tilde{q}$, (10) and (11) show

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}'(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}'(r)} \leq \mathrm{md}''(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|). \tag{12}$$

In particular, for any $\tilde{\sigma}$ with $\tilde{\beta} > \pi/2$, (11) and (12) say

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}'(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}'(r)} < \frac{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{\sigma}(t)|) - \mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{\sigma}(t)|)} \tag{13}$$

for all $t \in (0, \operatorname{diam} S^n_k]$.

On $[0, \operatorname{diam} S^n_k]$, md is nondecreasing, therefore md′/md is nonincreasing. This says, if $\tilde{\beta} \leq \pi/2$, the right side of (11) will strictly increase with $|\tilde{q}\tilde{\sigma}(t)|$. Therefore, if we choose $t_b \in (0, \operatorname{diam} S^n_k)$ so that $\tilde{\sigma}(t_b) \in \partial D^n_k(r)$, we have for all $t_{\mathrm{int}} \in (0, t_b)$ and $t_{\mathrm{ext}} \in (t_b, \operatorname{diam} S^n_k]$

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{\sigma}(t_{\mathrm{int}})|) - \mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{\sigma}(t_{\mathrm{int}})|)} < \frac{\mathrm{md}'(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}'(r)} < \frac{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{\sigma}(t_{\mathrm{ext}})|) - \mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{\sigma}(t_{\mathrm{ext}})|)}. \tag{14}$$

Equations (13) and (14) complete the proof of Part (2). □

Now notice, for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in (0, \operatorname{diam} S^n_k)$, the function $r \mapsto \mathrm{md}'_k(r - h)/\mathrm{md}'_k(r)$ is strictly increasing on $(0, \operatorname{diam} S^n_k)$. This says for any number λ less than

$$\Lambda(k, h) := \lim_{r \to \operatorname{diam} S^n_k} \frac{\mathrm{md}'_k(r - h)}{\mathrm{md}'_k(r)} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } k > 0 \\ e^{-\sqrt{|k|}h} & \text{if } k \leq 0 \end{cases},$$

the equation

$$\frac{m'_k(r - h)}{m'_k(r)} = \lambda \tag{15}$$

can be solved uniquely for r. Therefore, given $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in S^n_k$ with $|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}| = h \in (0, \operatorname{diam} S^n_k)$, if $\lambda \in [-1, \Lambda(k, h))$ and r_λ denotes the unique solution to (15) we have

$$D^n_k(\tilde{a}, r_\lambda) \setminus \{\tilde{q}\} = \left\{ \tilde{x} \in S^n_k \;\middle|\; \frac{\mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) - \mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\mathrm{md}_k(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)} \leq \lambda \right\}$$

where $\tilde{q} \in \partial D^n_k(\tilde{a}, r_\lambda)$, $\tilde{p} \in D^n_k(\tilde{a}, r_\lambda)$ and $\tilde{a}$ is on the geodesic determined by $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q}$.

Now, if (X, dist) is an Alexandrov space with $\operatorname{curv} X \geq k$, given $p, q \in X$, we can use Proposition 3 to construct subsets of X, i.e.,

$$\left\{ x \in X \;\middle|\; \frac{\mathrm{md}_k(\mathrm{dist}(p, x)) - \mathrm{md}_k(\mathrm{dist}(p, q))}{\mathrm{md}_k(\mathrm{dist}(q, x))} \leq \lambda \right\}$$

that would otherwise correspond to metric disks if $X = S^n_k$. As in the definition of radius of X, we are interested in the smallest such subset that covers X. This motivates the following

Definition 2. *If $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed and X is an n-dimensional Alexandrov space with* $\operatorname{curv} X \geq k$*, for $p, q \in X$, we'll say the* k-eccentricity *at p relative to q is given by*

$$\lambda_p(q) := \sup_{x \in M \setminus \{q\}} \frac{\mathrm{md}_k(\mathrm{dist}(p,x)) - \mathrm{md}_k(\mathrm{dist}(p,q))}{\mathrm{md}_k(\mathrm{dist}(q,x))}.$$

Definition 3. *If $\lambda_p(q) < \Lambda(k, \mathrm{dist}(p,q))$, denote by $r_{\lambda_p(q)}$ the unique solution r to the equation*

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}_k'(r - \mathrm{dist}(p,q))}{\mathrm{md}_k'(r)} = \lambda_p(q)\,,$$

otherwise we set $r_{\lambda_p(q)} = \infty$.

Next we observe the following relationship between eccentricity and critical points

Proposition 4. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold with $\sec M \geq k$. If $p, q \in M$, then $\lambda_p(q) < \infty$ if and only if q is critical for the distance from p.*

Proof. Assume $p \neq q$. Take any segment $\gamma_q : [0,T] \to M$ with $\gamma_q(0) = q$. For any $t \in (0,T)$, by the mean value theorem, for some $d^* \in [\mathrm{dist}(p,q), \mathrm{dist}(p,\gamma_q(t))]$ and $t^* \in (0,t)$ we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}(\mathrm{dist}(p,\gamma_q(t))) - \mathrm{md}(\mathrm{dist}(p,q))}{\mathrm{md}(\mathrm{dist}(q,\gamma_q(t)))} \quad = \quad \frac{\mathrm{md}'(d^*)}{\mathrm{md}'(t^*)} \frac{\mathrm{dist}(p,\gamma_q(t)) - \mathrm{dist}(p,q)}{t}.$$

Using this and the first variation formula, if $\lambda_p(q) < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} -\cos\alpha_{\min} &= \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\mathrm{dist}(p,\gamma_q(t)) - \mathrm{dist}(p,q)}{t} \\ &\leq \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\mathrm{md}'(t^*)}{\mathrm{md}'(d^*)}\, \lambda_p(q) \\ &= \frac{\mathrm{md}'(0)}{\mathrm{md}'(\mathrm{dist}(p,q))}\, \lambda_p(q) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So q is a critical point for the distance from p.

Conversely, suppose q is critical to p. Take any $x \in M$ different from q and any segment σ_{qx} from q to x. It follows there is a segment γ_{qp} from q to p so that

$$\beta := \sphericalangle(\gamma_{qp}'(0), \sigma_{qx}'(0)) \leq \pi/2.$$

Now let $\tilde{\gamma}_{\tilde{q}\tilde{p}}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{q}\tilde{x}}$ be segments in S_k^n where $|\tilde{q}\tilde{p}| = \mathrm{dist}(p,q)$, $|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}| = \mathrm{dist}(q,x)$, and

$$\tilde{\beta} := \sphericalangle(\tilde{\gamma}_{\tilde{q}\tilde{p}}'(0), \tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{q}\tilde{x}}'(0)) = \beta \leq \pi/2.$$

By the hinge version of Toponogov's Theorem,

$$\mathrm{dist}(p,x) \leq |\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|.$$

From Proposition 2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m(\mathrm{dist}(p,x)) - m(\mathrm{dist}(p,q))}{m(\mathrm{dist}(q,x))} &\leq \frac{m(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) - m(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{m(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)} \\ &= m''(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|) - m'(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)\frac{m'(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)}{m(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)}\cos\tilde{\beta} \\ &\leq m''(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|). \end{aligned}$$

So $\lambda_p(q) < \infty$. □

Following [3], for $p \in M$, the star convex region of $B_k^n(p) \subset T_pM$ that is bounded by the tangent cut locus of p and contains the origin will be called the *segment domain* at p. We denote this set as $\mathrm{seg}\,(p)$ and note

$$\mathrm{seg}(p) = \{v \in B_k^n(p) \mid \exp_p(tv) : [0,1] \to M \text{ is a segment}\}.$$

The map $\exp_p : \mathrm{seg}\,(p) \to M$ is surjective and if $\mathrm{seg}\,(p)$ has the metric induced from $B_k^n(p)$, by Topnogov's theorem, it is also 1-Lipschitz.

Recall that we use $\Uparrow_p^q$ to denote the set of unit tangent vectors in T_pM which are tangent to segments from p to q.

Definition 4. *Let $\tilde{p}$ denote the origin of $\mathrm{seg}\,(p)$. For each $q \in \mathcal{C}(p)$, and for each $v \in \Uparrow_p^q$, let $\tilde{\gamma}_v$ be the geodesic in $B_k^n(p)$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}_v(0) = \tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_v'(0) = v$. If $r_{\lambda_p(q)} < \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S_k^n$, set $\tilde{a}_v := \tilde{\gamma}_v(\mathrm{dist}(p,q) - r_{\lambda_p(q)})$ and let*

$$D_k^n(\tilde{a}_v, r_{\lambda_p(q)}) \subset B_k^n(p)$$

denote the disk centered at $\tilde{a}_v$ with radius $r_{\lambda_p(q)}$. If $r_{\lambda_p(q)} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S_k^n$, set $\tilde{q}_v := \tilde{\gamma}_v(\mathrm{dist}(p,q))$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} H_v &:= \{\tilde{x} \in B_k^n(p) \mid \angle \tilde{p}\tilde{q}\tilde{x} \leq \pi/2\} \\ &= \mathrm{cl}\left(\bigcup_{t \in I} D_k^n\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}(\mathrm{dist}(p,q) - t), t\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $I = [\mathrm{dist}(p,q), \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S_k^n]$.

Lemma 1. *Given $q \in \mathcal{C}(p)$, if $r_{\lambda_p(q)} < \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S_k^n$ and $\mathrm{dist}(p,q) < r_{\lambda_p(q)}$, then*

$$\mathrm{seg}\,(p) \subset \bigcap_{v \in \Uparrow_p^q} D_k^n(\tilde{a}_v, \mathrm{rad}_p(q)).$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{p}$ be the origin of $\mathrm{seg}\,(p)$, $v \in \Uparrow_p^q$, and set $\tilde{q}_v := \mathrm{dist}(p,q)v$. To show that $\mathrm{seg}\,(p) \subset D_k^n(\tilde{a}_v, r_{\lambda_p(q)})$, by Proposition 3, it will suffice to show for any $\tilde{x} \in \mathrm{seg}\,(p)$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) - \mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_v|)}{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)} \leq \frac{\mathrm{md}'(r_{\lambda_p(q)} - \mathrm{dist}(p,q))}{\mathrm{md}'(r_{\lambda_p(q)})} = \lambda_p(q). \tag{16}$$

Since $r \mapsto \mathrm{md}'(r - |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_v|)/\mathrm{md}'(r)$ is monotone increasing and vanishes when $r = \mathrm{dist}(p,q)$, it follows from the assumption $\mathrm{dist}(p,q) < r_{\lambda_p(q)}$ that $\lambda_p(q) > 0$. In particular, (16) holds for all $\tilde{x}$ such that $|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}| \leq |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_v|$.

Assume that $|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}| > |\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_v|$. Let $x \in M$ be such that $\exp_p(\tilde{x}) = x$. By the hinge version of Toponogov's Theorem,

$$\mathrm{dist}(q,x) \leq |\tilde{q}_v\tilde{x}|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \frac{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) - \mathrm{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_v|)}{\mathrm{md}(|\tilde{q}_v\tilde{x}|)} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathrm{md}(\mathrm{dist}(p,x)) - \mathrm{md}(\mathrm{dist}(p,q))}{\mathrm{md}(\mathrm{dist}(q,x))} \\ &\leq \lambda_p(q) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Lemma 2. *Given $q \in \mathcal{C}(p)$, if $\operatorname{dist}(p,q) < r_{\lambda_p(q)} = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} S^n_k$ then*

$$\operatorname{seg}(p) \subset \bigcap_{v \in \Uparrow_p^q} H_v$$

Proof. Take any $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in S^n_k$ with $|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}| < \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} S^n_k$. Suppose that $\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{q}\tilde{p}\tilde{x}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{q}\tilde{p}x^*$ are triangles in S^n_k with $|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}| = |\tilde{p}x^*|$ and $|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}| \leq |\tilde{q}x^*|$. If $\tilde{\angle}\tilde{p}\tilde{q}\tilde{x} \leq \pi/2$, then $\tilde{\angle}\tilde{q}\tilde{p}x^* \leq \pi/2$. To see this, since $|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}| < \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} S^n_k$, either

$$\frac{\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{p}x^*|) - \operatorname{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{q}x^*|)} \leq 0 < \operatorname{md}''(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|),$$

or by Proposition 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{p}x^*|) - \operatorname{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{q}x^*|)} &\leq \frac{\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|) - \operatorname{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)}{\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)} \\
&= \operatorname{md}''(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|) - \operatorname{md}'(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|)\frac{\operatorname{md}'(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)}{\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{q}\tilde{x}|)}\cos\tilde{\angle}\tilde{p}\tilde{q}\tilde{x} \\
&\leq \operatorname{md}''(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}|).
\end{aligned}$$

In either case, these inequalities combined with Proposition 2 imply $\tilde{\angle}\tilde{p}\tilde{q}x^* \leq \pi/2$.

Now suppose that $\tilde{p}$ is the origin of $\operatorname{seg}(p)$. Take any $x^* \in \operatorname{seg}(p)$ and let $x \in M$ satisfy $\exp_p(x^*) = x$. For each $v \in \Uparrow_p^q$, let $\tilde{q}_v := \operatorname{dist}(p,q)v$. Since q is critical to p, there is a triangle Δpqx in M such that $\angle pqx \leq \pi/2$. By Toponogov's theorem, if $\tilde{x}$ is a point so that $\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{q}_v\tilde{p}\tilde{x}$ is a comparison triangle for Δqpx, then $\tilde{\angle}\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_v\tilde{x} \leq \angle pqx \leq \pi/2$. In addition, by the hinge version of Toponogov's theorem, $\operatorname{dist}(q,x) = |\tilde{q}_v\tilde{x}| \leq |\tilde{q}_v x^*|$. Therefore, by the above, it follows that for all $v \in \Uparrow_p^q$,

$$\tilde{\angle}\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_v x^* \leq \pi/2$$

completing the proof. □

Lemma 3. *Given $p, q \in M$, $r_{\lambda_p(q)} \leq \operatorname{dist}(p,q)$ if and only if q is a point at maximal distance from p.*

Proof. Note q is at maximal distance from p if and only if for all $x \in M$

$$\frac{\operatorname{md}(\operatorname{dist}(p,x)) - \operatorname{md}(\operatorname{dist}(p,q))}{\operatorname{md}(\operatorname{dist}(q,x))} \leq 0,$$

which is equivalent to $\lambda_p(q) \leq 0$ which is the same as $r_p(q) \leq \operatorname{dist}(p,q)$. □

Motivated by this Lemma, we make

Definition 5. *Given $p, q \in M$ we define the* critical radius *at q for the distance from p to be the number*

$$\operatorname{cr}_p(q) := \max\{\operatorname{dist}(p,q), r_{\lambda_p(q)}\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 1. The proof of Parts (1) through (3) follow from Lemmas 1 and 2 and Parts (4) and (5) follow from Lemma 3 and Definitions 2,3, and 5. □

2. A weaker definition of Sagitta

Then definition of r-sagitta in the introduction has the feature of being simple and at the same time uses Proposition 1 to constrain the geometry of M in the desired way. With this definition, however, it is unclear if the condition $\operatorname{rad} M \leq r$ implies $\operatorname{sag}_r M \leq r$. This would be the case if there was a positive answer to the following

Question 1. *Given a compact Riemannian manifold M, if $p \in M$ realizes the radius, does there exist a point $q \in M$ at maximal distance from p such that p is critical for the distance from q?*

If we denote by $A(p)$ to be the set of all points at maximal distance from p, we do have a partial answer to the question above.

Proposition 5. *Let $p \in M$ be a point that realizes the radius of M. Then p is a critical point for the distance from $A(p)$.*

Now consider the set

$$A_{h,r}(p) = \{q \in \mathcal{C}(p) \mid \operatorname{dist}(p,q) \leq h \text{ and } \operatorname{cr}_p(q) \leq r\}. \tag{17}$$

By Proposition 1 if p realizes the radius of M, $A_{h,r}(p)$ coincides with $A(p)$ when $h = r = \operatorname{rad} M$. Therefore, by Proposition 5, we can make the following

Definition 1̃. *Suppose M satisfies $\sec M \geq k$ and $\operatorname{rad} M \leq r$. We let*

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{sag}}_r M := \inf\{h \mid p \in \mathcal{C}(A_{r,h}(p)) \text{ for some } p \in M\}$$

*and call this number the modified r-*sagitta *of M.*

Clearly $\mathcal{M}^n_{k,r,h} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n_{k,r,h}$ and by Proposition 5, $\mathcal{M}^n_{k,r} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^n_{k,r,r}$ as desired. As we will now only consider $\widetilde{\operatorname{sag}}_r M$ for the proof of Theorem 1, in all that follows we drop the "$\sim$" from our notation.

Proof of Proposition 5. For any $x \in M$, let $\sphericalangle$ denote the induced metric on unit tangent sphere $U_x M = S^{n-1}_1$. Set $R = \operatorname{rad} M$ and suppose for a contradiction that there is a vector $g \in U_p M$ such that

$$\sphericalangle(g, \Uparrow_p^{A(p)}) > \pi/2.$$

As $\Uparrow_p^{A(p)} \subset U_p M$ is compact, there is a $\theta_0 > 0$ so that

$$\sphericalangle(g, \Uparrow_p^{A(p)}) \geq \pi/2 + \theta_0.$$

By definition of $A(p)$ and continuity of $\exp_p$, there is an $r_0 > 0$ so that any normal geodesic σ_p emanating from p that satisfies

$$\sphericalangle(g, \dot\sigma_p(0)) \leq \pi/2 + \theta_0/2$$

cannot be distance minimizing on $[0, R - r_0]$.

Let $\tilde p \in S^2_k$ and let $\tilde\gamma_{\tilde p}$ be a geodesic satisfying $\tilde\gamma_{\tilde p}(0) = \tilde p$. By first variation there is a $t_0 > 0$ so that if $\tilde x \in S^2_k$ makes an angle less than $\pi/2 - \theta_0/2$ with $\tilde\gamma_{\tilde p}$ at $\tilde p$, then

$$|\tilde\gamma_{\tilde p}(t)\tilde x| < |\tilde p \tilde x|$$

for all $t \in (0, t_0)$.

Now let $\gamma_p : \mathbb{R} \to M$ be a geodesic that satisfies $\gamma_p(0) = p$ and $\dot{\gamma}_p(0) = -g$. For any point $x \in M$ for which there is a segment γ_{px} from p to x so that $\sphericalangle(-g, \dot{\gamma}_{px}(0)) < \pi/2 - \theta_0/2$, by the hinge version of Toponogov's Theorem

$$\operatorname{dist}(\gamma_p(t), x) < \operatorname{dist}(p, x) \leq R$$

for all $t \in (0, t_0)$.

On the other hand we know any point $x \in M$ for which there is a segment γ_{px} from p to x with $\sphericalangle(-g, \dot{\gamma}_{px}(0)) \geq \pi/2 - \theta_0/2$, satisfies

$$\operatorname{dist}(p, x) < R - r_0.$$

By the triangle inequality, for any point $x \in M$ the point $\gamma_p(c)$ where $c \in (0, \min\{t_0, r_0\})$ satisfies $\operatorname{dist}(\gamma_p(c), x) < R$ and so M must have radius less than R. □

3. Volume Bound

Next we aim to prove the volume inequality in Part 1 of Theorem 1. We begin with a volume inequality in S^n_k that follows from the result of the Appendix in [2], and is the complimentary version of Inequality (1.4) in [3].

Lemma 4. *For a point $\tilde{p} \in S^n_k$, let $S(\tilde{p}, R) := \{\tilde{a} \in S^n_k \mid |\tilde{p}\tilde{a}| = R\}$ denote the metric sphere of radius R at $\tilde{p}$. Given a real number $R \leq \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} S^n_k$, if $\tilde{C} \subset S(\tilde{p}, R)$ and $\Uparrow^{\tilde{C}}_{\tilde{p}} \subset U_{\tilde{p}} S^n_k$ forms a $\pi/2$-net, then for any $r > 0$,*

$$\operatorname{vol}\left(\bigcap_{\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}} D^n_k(\tilde{c}, r)\right) \leq \operatorname{vol}\left(D^n_k(\tilde{c}_1, r) \cap D^n_k(\tilde{c}_2, r)\right) \tag{18}$$

where $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2 \in S(\tilde{p}, R)$ and $\angle c_1 p c_2 = \pi$. Equality in (18) occurs only when $\tilde{C} = \{\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2\}$ with $\angle \tilde{c}_1 \tilde{p} \tilde{c}_2 = \pi$.

Proof. We can assume $r \geq R$. Note first

$$\bigcap_{\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}} D^n_k(\tilde{c}, r) \subset D^n_k(\tilde{p}, r). \tag{19}$$

If not, then there is a point $\tilde{x} \in \cap_{\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}} D^n_k(\tilde{c}, r)$, with $|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}| > r$. Then we can find a segment $\tilde{\sigma}$ from $\tilde{p}$ to $\tilde{x}$ such that the angle at $\tilde{p}$ between $\tilde{\sigma}$ and the segment from $\tilde{p}$ to any $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}$ must be strictly less than $\pi/2$. This contradicts the assumption $\Uparrow^{\tilde{C}}_{\tilde{p}} \subset U_{\tilde{p}} S^n_k$ forms a $\pi/2$-net.

So,

$$\operatorname{vol}\left(\bigcap_{\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}} D^n_k(\tilde{c}, r)\right) = \operatorname{vol} D^n_k(\tilde{p}, r) - \operatorname{vol}\left(\bigcup_{\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}} D^n_k(\tilde{p}, r) \setminus D^n_k(\tilde{c}, \tilde{p})\right).$$

For each $v_{\tilde{c}} \in \Uparrow^{\tilde{C}}_{\tilde{p}}$, let $-v_{\tilde{c}}$ denote the direction opposite $v_{\tilde{c}}$ and let $B_s(-v_{\tilde{c}}, \theta)$ denote the metric ball centered at $-v_{\tilde{c}}$ of radius θ in $U_{\tilde{p}} S^n_k$. Then note for each $h \in [r, r+R]$, there is a $\theta_h \geq 0$ and a continuous function $\rho_h : [0, \theta_h] \to \mathbb{R}$ so that for each $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D^n_k(\tilde{p}, r) \setminus D^n_k(\tilde{c}, r) &= \bigcup_{h \in [r, r+R]} S(\tilde{c}, h) \cap D^n_k(\tilde{p}, r) \\ &= \bigcup_{\theta \in [0, \theta_h]} \rho_h(\theta) B_s(-v_{\tilde{c}}, \theta). \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from the Appendix in [2]. □

Proof of Part 1 of Theorem 1. Let $h, r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\text{diam}\, S^n_k]$ with $h \leq r$, and assume $M \in \mathcal{M}^n_{k,r,h}$. Take a point $p \in M$ such that the distance from $A_{r,h}(p)$ is critical at p where $A_{r,h}(p)$ is the set defined in (17). Let $\tilde{p}$ be the origin of $\text{seg}\,(p)$. We now show there is a set $\tilde{C} \subset S(\tilde{p}, r-h) \subset S^n_k$ satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 4.

For each $q \in A_{r,h}(p)$ and $v_q \in \Uparrow^q_p$, let $\tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}$ and $\tilde{a}_{v_q}$ be as in Definition 4. By assumption, $\Uparrow^{A_{r,h}(p)}_p \subset U_pM$ forms a $\pi/2$-net and by Lemma 1,

$$\text{seg}\,(p) \quad \subset \quad \bigcap_{q \in A_{r,h}(p)} D^n_k(\tilde{a}_{v_q}, \text{cr}_{\,p}(q)). \tag{20}$$

By definition, for each $q \in A_{r,h}(p)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cr}_{\,p}(q) &\leq r, \\ \text{dist}(p,q) &\leq h, \end{aligned}$$

and for every $v_q \in \Uparrow^q_p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_{v_q} &= \tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}(\text{dist}(p,q) - \text{cr}_{\,p}(q)), \\ \tilde{p} &= \tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}(0). \end{aligned}$$

For each $q \in A_{r,h}(p)$ and $v_q \in \Uparrow^q_p$, set

$$\tilde{b}_{v_q} := \tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}(\text{dist}(p,q) - r),$$

and

$$\tilde{c}_{v_q} := \tilde{\gamma}_{v_q}(h - r).$$

Then $|\tilde{b}_{v_q}\tilde{a}_{v_q}| = r - \text{cr}_{\,p}(q)$, and by the Triangle Inequality,

$$D^n_k(\tilde{a}_{v_q}, \text{cr}_{\,p}(q)) \subset D^n_k(\tilde{b}_{v_q}, r). \tag{21}$$

Also, $|\tilde{b}_{v_q}\tilde{p}| = r - \text{dist}(p,q)$ so,

$$|\tilde{c}_{v_q}\tilde{p}| = r - h \leq |\tilde{b}_{v_q}\tilde{p}|. \tag{22}$$

In addition since p is critical for the distance from $A_{r,h}(p)$, by (19),

$$\bigcap_{q \in A_{r,h}(p)} D^n_k(\tilde{b}_{v_q}, r) \subset D(\tilde{p}, r).$$

It then follows follows from (20), (21), (22), and the Triangle Inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{seg}\,(p) &\subset \bigcap_{q \in A_{r,h}(p)} D^n_k(\tilde{a}_{v_q}, \text{cr}_{\,p}(q)) \\ &\subset \bigcap_{q \in A_{r,h}(p)} D^n_k(\tilde{b}_{v_q}, r) \\ &\subset \bigcap_{q \in A_{r,h}(p)} D^n_k(\tilde{c}_{v_q}, r). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

From Lemma 4 and Equation (22), we have for any $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2 \in S^n_k$ with $|\tilde{c}_1\tilde{c}_2| = 2(r-h)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{vol} M &\leq \operatorname{vol}\left(\operatorname{seg}(p)\right) \\ &\leq \operatorname{vol}\left(\bigcap_{q\in A_{r,h}(p)} D^n_k(\tilde{c}_{v_q}, r)\right) \\ &\leq \operatorname{vol}\left(D^n_k(\tilde{c}_1, r)\cap D^n_k(\tilde{c}_2, r)\right) \\ &= \operatorname{vol} L^n_k(h, r) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

For future reference, we extract from Equations (22) and (23) in the proof above the following

Proposition 6. *If $h, r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} S^n_k]$ are real numbers with $h \leq r$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}^n_{k,r,h}$, then there is a point $p \in M$ such that if $\tilde{p}$ is the origin of $\operatorname{seg}(p)$, there is a $\pi/2$-net $\{\tilde{c}_{v_q}\}_{v_q\in\Uparrow_p^{A_{r,h}(p)}}$ in the metric sphere $S(\tilde{p}, r-h)$ so that*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{seg}(p) &\subset \bigcap_{q\in A_{r,h}(p)} D^n_k(\tilde{a}_{v_q}, \operatorname{cr}_p(q)) \\ &\subset \bigcap_{q\in A_{r,h}(p)} D^n_k(\tilde{c}_{v_q}, r). \end{aligned}$$

4. Convergence and Topological Identification

The goal of this section is to prove the topological version of Part 2 of Theorem 1 by proving Theorem 2. Most of the ideas in this section are taken directly from the analogous section in [3].

We fix $n \geq 2$ and real numbers $k \in \mathbb{R}$, $h, r \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam} S^n_k]$, with $h \leq r$. Fix a sequence $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}^n_{k,r,h}$ of compact, Riemannian n-manifolds satisfying

$$\operatorname{vol} M_i \to \operatorname{vol} L^n_k(h, r).$$

In each M_i, take a point $p_i \in M_i$ for which $A_{r,h}(p_i)$ is nonempty and critical at p_i. By Gromov's Compactness Theorem, $M_i \to X$ where X is an n-dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded below by k. Let $p := \lim p_i$. It follows that a subsequence of the sequence of domains $\{\operatorname{seg}(p_i)\}$ converges to a compact subset $\operatorname{seg}(p) \subset S^n_k$, and a subsequence of the sequence of maps $\{\exp_{p_i} : \operatorname{seg}(p_i) \to M_i\}$ converges to a surjective, 1-Lipschitz map $\exp_p : \operatorname{seg}(\tilde{p}) \to X$, (see [2] or [3] for details). The set $\operatorname{seg}(p) \subset S^n_k$ is star convex at a point $\tilde{p} \in \operatorname{seg}(p)$ and the map $\exp_p$ maps segments emanating from $\tilde{p}$ in $\operatorname{seg}(p)$ to segments emanating from p in X. Conversely, any segment in X emanating from p is in the image under $\exp_p$ of a segment in $\operatorname{seg}(p)$ that emanates from $\tilde{p}$.

Proposition 7. $\operatorname{seg}(p) = L^n_k(h, r)$

Proof. Set $A_{r,h}(p) := \lim_i A_{r,h}(p_i)$. From the definition of eccentricity, it follows that for each $q_i \in A_{r,h}(p_i)$ converging to $q \in A_{r,h}(p)$, $\operatorname{cr}_{p_i}(q_i) \to \operatorname{cr}_p(q)$. Therefore, because every $q_i \in A_{r,h}(p_i)$ satisfies $\operatorname{cr}_{p_i}(q_i) \leq r$ and $\operatorname{dist}(p_i, q_i) \leq h$, the same is true for every $q \in A_{r,h}(p)$. In addition, for every i the distance from the set

$A_{r,h}(p_i)$ is critical at p_i, it follows that the distance from $A_{r,h}(p)$ is critical at p, i.e., $\Uparrow_p^{A_{r,h}(p)} \subset \Sigma_p$ is a $\pi/2$-net. Therefore, since for every i,

$$\operatorname{seg}(p_i) \subset \bigcap_{q_i \in A_{r,h}(p_i)} D_k^n(\tilde{a}_{v_{q_i}}, \operatorname{cr}_{p_i}(q_i)),$$

it follows that

$$\operatorname{seg}(p) \subset \bigcap_{q \in A_{r,h}(p)} D_k^n(\tilde{a}_{v_q}, \operatorname{cr}_p(q)).$$

By Proposition 6, we can select points $\{\tilde{c}_{v_q}\} \subset S(\tilde{p}, r-h)$ indexed over $v_q \in \Uparrow_p^{A_{r,h}(p)}$ such that

$$\bigcap_{q \in A_{r,h}(p)} D_k^n(\tilde{a}_{v_q}, \operatorname{cr}_p(q)) \subset \bigcap_{q \in A_{r,h}(p)} D_k^n(\tilde{c}_{v_q}, r).$$

Because $\operatorname{seg}(p_i) \to \operatorname{seg}(p)$, it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{vol} L_k^n(h,r) &= \lim \operatorname{vol} M_i \\
&\leq \lim \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{seg}(p_i)) \\
&= \operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{seg}(p)) \\
&\leq \operatorname{vol}\left(\bigcap_{q \in A_{r,h}(p)} D_k^n(\tilde{c}_{v_q}, r)\right) \\
&\leq \operatorname{vol} L_k^n(h,r).
\end{aligned}$$

From the equality statement in Lemma 4, we must have $\{\tilde{c}_{v_q}\} = \{\tilde{c}_{v_{q_1}}, \tilde{c}_{v_{q_2}}\}$ where $|\tilde{c}_{v_{q_1}} \tilde{c}_{v_{q_2}}| = 2(r-h)$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{seg}(p) \subset D_k^n(\tilde{c}_{v_{q_1}}, r) \cap D_k^n(\tilde{c}_{v_{q_2}}, r) = L_k^n(h,r).$$

From this and that $\operatorname{vol}(\operatorname{seg}(p)) = \operatorname{vol} L_k^n(h,r)$, it follows that $\operatorname{seg}(p) = L_k^n(h,r)$. □

To continue, we'll first fix notation for certain related geometric attributes of $L_k^n(h,r)$. Let $\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2 \in S_k^n$ such that $|\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2| = 2(r-h)$, $|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_i| = h$, and $|\tilde{a}_i\tilde{q}_i| = r$. We assume that

$$\operatorname{seg}(p) = L_k^n(h,r) := D_k^n(\tilde{a}_1, r) \cap D_k^n(\tilde{a}_2, r).$$

Denote the interior of $L_k^n(h,r)$ by $\mathring{L}_k^n(h,r)$.

For $i = 1, 2$, let

$$D_i^{n-1} := \partial D_k^n(\tilde{a}_1, r) \cap \partial L_k^n(h,r)$$

and note D_i^{n-1} is a disk in the metric sphere $\partial D_k^n(\tilde{a}_i, r)$ centered at $\tilde{q}_i$. Moreover, these disks have equal radii and $\partial L_k^n(h,r) = D_1^{n-1} \cup D_2^{n-1}$ (See Figure 3).

For distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, let

$$B_i^{n-1} = \mathring{D}_i^{n-1} := \{\tilde{x} \in S_k^n \mid |\tilde{x}\tilde{a}_i| < r \text{ and } |\tilde{x}\tilde{a}_j| = r\}$$

be the interior of D_i^{n-1}

Let $\tilde{s} : \mathbb{R} \to S_k^n$ be the geodesic through $\tilde{a}_1$ and $\tilde{a}_2$ such that $\tilde{s}(-h) = \tilde{q}_2$, $\tilde{s}(0) = \tilde{p}$, and $\tilde{s}(h) = \tilde{q}_1$. For each $t \in [-h, h]$, let H_t be the totally geodesic hyperplane in S_k^n through $\tilde{s}(t)$ and orthogonal to $\tilde{s}'(t)$ and let

$$S_t := H_t \cap \partial L_k^n(h,r)$$

be the $(n-2)$-dimensional metric sphere in H_t. Note that $S_0 = \partial D_1^{n-1} = \partial D_2^{n-1} = D_1^{n-1} \cap D_2^{n-1}$.



FIGURE 4

We recall an observation made in [3]. Let M be a compact, Riemannian n-manifold with $\sec M \geq k \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $p \in M$ be a point that realizes the radius of M and let $Q \subset M$ and $r : Q \to \mathbb{R}^+$ a function. If $\tilde{p}$ is the origin of $\operatorname{seg}(p)$, and $\tilde{Q} := \exp_p^{-1}(Q) \subset S_k^n$, the so called "Swiss Cheese" volume comparison given in [3] says,

$$\operatorname{vol}\left(M - \bigcup_{q\in Q} B(q, r(p))\right) \leq \operatorname{vol}\left(D_k^n(\tilde{p}, \operatorname{rad} M) - \bigcup_{\tilde{q}\in\tilde{Q}} B(\tilde{q}, r \circ \exp_p(\tilde{q}))\right).$$

Now let $\tilde{p}_i$ be the origin of $\operatorname{seg}(p_i)$. By Proposition 6, for each i,

$$\operatorname{seg}(p_i) \subset I(\tilde{p}_i, r) := \bigcap_{q_i \in A_{r,h}(p_i)} D_k^n(\tilde{c}_{v_{q_i}}, r),$$

and a straightforward modification of the above shows

$$\operatorname{vol}\left(M_i - \bigcup_{q\in Q} B(q, r(p))\right) \quad \leq \quad \operatorname{vol}\left(I(\tilde{p}_i, r) - \bigcup_{\tilde{q}\in\tilde{Q}} B(\tilde{q}, r \circ \exp_p(\tilde{q}))\right). \tag{24}$$

Lemma 5. *The map* $\exp_p : L_k^n(h, r) \to X$ *satisfies*

1. $\exp|_{\tilde{L}_k^n(h,r)}$ *is injective,*
2. $\exp_p$ *preserves the length of paths,*
3. $\exp_p\big|_{B_i^{n-1}}$ *is at most* 2 *to* 1*, and*
4. *there is a positive integer* $c(n, k, h, r)$ *such that* $\exp_p\big|_{S_0}$ *is no more than* c *to* 1*.*

Proof. Up to needing Equation (24), the proofs of Parts (1) - (3) are identical to the proofs of the analogous parts of Lemma 2.5 in [3]. We give the proof of Part (4) which is similar to the proof of part (3) (cf. [5]).

Let $\tilde{q} \in S_0$ and $\rho > 0$. Let $B(\tilde{q}, \rho)$ be a metric ball in S_k^n centered at $\tilde{q}$ of radius ρ. By Bishop-Gromov, the function

$$\rho \to \frac{\operatorname{vol} B(\tilde{q}, \rho)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B(\tilde{q}, \rho) \cap L_k^n(h, r)\right)}$$

is nondecreasing. This function is also bounded below by 1, so let $c(n,k,h,r)$ be the smallest integer larger than

$$\lim_{\rho\to 0}\frac{\operatorname{vol} B(\tilde{q},\rho)}{\operatorname{vol}\left(B(\tilde{q},\rho)\cap L_k^n(h,r)\right)}.$$

By symmetry, c is independent of $\tilde{q}$.

Let $q\in X$. For a contradiction, suppose there are $c+1$ distinct points $\{\tilde{x}^k\}_{k=1}^{c+1}\subset \partial L_k^n(h,r)$ such that $\exp_p(\tilde{x}^k)=q$. Choose $\rho>0$ so that $\{B(\tilde{x}^k,\rho)\}_{k=1}^{c+1}\subset S_k^n$ is a disjoint collection. For each i, let $\{\tilde{x}_i^k\}_{k=1}^{c+1}\subset \operatorname{seg}(p_i)$ be chosen so that $\lim_i\{\tilde{x}_i^k\}=\{\tilde{x}^k\}$ and $\lim_i \exp_{p_i}(\tilde{x}_i^k)=\exp_p(\tilde{x}^k)=q$.

Note for any $\lambda\in(0,1)$ and any $k\in\{1,\dots,c+1\}$, if i sufficiently large, by Proposition 7,

$$\lambda\operatorname{vol}\left(B(\tilde{x}^k,\rho)\cap L_k^n(h,r)\right)\le \operatorname{vol}\left(B(\tilde{x}_i^k,\rho)\cap I(\tilde{p}_i,r)\right).$$

Therefore, if i is large enough, by Equation (24), the display above, and the definition of c,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{vol} M_i-\operatorname{vol}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{c+1}B(\exp_{p_i}(\tilde{x}_i^k),\rho)\right) &\le \operatorname{vol} I(\tilde{p}_i,r)-\sum_{k=1}^{c+1}\operatorname{vol}\left(B(\tilde{x}_i^k,\rho)\cap I(\tilde{p}_i,r)\right)\\
&\le \operatorname{vol} I(\tilde{p}_i,r)-\sum_{k=1}^{c+1}\lambda\operatorname{vol}\left(B(\tilde{x}^k,\rho)\cap L_k^n(h,r)\right)\\
&\le \operatorname{vol} I(\tilde{p}_i,r)-\frac{c+1}{c}\lambda\operatorname{vol}\left(B(\tilde{q},\rho)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

However, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{c+1}B(\exp_{p_i}(\tilde{x}_i^k),\rho)\to B(q,\rho)$, and both $\operatorname{vol} M_i$ and $\operatorname{vol} I(\tilde{p}_i,r)$ converge to $\operatorname{vol} L_k^n(h,r)$. So, if λ is sufficiently close to 1, and i is sufficiently large, the above inequality provides the desired contradiction. □

Let $R_{H_0}:S_k^n\to S_k^n$ be reflection over the hyperplane H_0. Note that $R_{H_0}(D_i^{n-1})=D_j^{n-1}$ for $i\neq j\in\{1,2\}$. Equip $\partial L_k^n(h,r)$ with the induced length metric from S_k^n. Note this metric restricted to either D_1^{n-1} or D_2^{n-1} is Riemannian of constant curvature and with these metrics $D_1^{n-1}$and D_2^{n-1} are isometric.

Lemma 6. *There is a positive integer m and an isometry $\phi:\partial L_k^n(h,r)\to\partial L_k^n(h,r)$ which fix $\tilde{q}_1,\tilde{q}_2$, leaves S_0 invariant, and satisfies $\phi^m=\mathrm{id}$. Moreover, $\exp_p: L_k^n(h,r)\to X$ induces an isometry between X and either*

(1) $L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u}\sim\phi(\tilde{u}))$ provided ϕ is an involution, or
(2) $L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u}\sim R_{H_0}\circ\phi(\tilde{u}))$

where $\tilde{u}\in\partial L_k^n(h,r)$

Proof. As in [3], by Part 3 of Lemma 5, we can define a map $f:B_1^{n-1}\cup B_2^{n-1}\to\partial L_k^n(h,r)$ by

$$f(\tilde{u})=\begin{cases}\tilde{u} & \text{if } \exp_p^{-1}(\exp_p(\tilde{u}))=\{\tilde{u}\}\\ \tilde{v} & \text{if } \exp_p(\tilde{u})=\exp_p(\tilde{v}),\tilde{u}\neq\tilde{v}\end{cases}.$$

As noted in [3], the map f is continuous as a point of discontinuity would produce a bifurcation of geodesics in X. By Part 2 of Lemma 5, f is 1-Lipschitz, so it uniquely extends to a continuous map $f:\partial L_k^n(h,r)\to\partial L_k^n(h,r)$.

Assume first that $r-h>0$. In this case, $\lambda_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{q}_1)=\lambda_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{q}_2)>0$. In particular, if $\tilde{x}\in D_i^{n-1}$, by Proposition 3, $\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}|)=\lambda_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{q}_i)\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{q}_i\tilde{x}|)-\operatorname{md}(|\tilde{p}\tilde{q}_i|)$. Therefore, if

$\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \partial L_k^n(h,r)$, then $|\tilde{p}\tilde{x}| = |\tilde{p}\tilde{y}|$ if and only if for some $t \in [-h,h]$, either $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in S_t$ or $\tilde{x} \in S_t$ and $\tilde{y} \in S_{-t}$. By Parts 1 and 2 of Lemma 5, it follows that for all $t \in [0,h]$, either $f(S_t) = S_t$ or $f(S_t) = S_{-t}$. Therefore, by continuity of f, it follows that $f(D_i^{n-1}) = D_j^{n-1}$ for either $i = j \in \{1,2\}$ or $i \neq j \in \{1,2\}$.

In the case $f(D_i^{n-1}) = D_i^{n-1}$ for $i \in \{1,2\}$ set $\phi = f$. By Part 3 of Lemma 5, it follows that $\phi^2 = \mathrm{id}$, in particular, ϕ must be an isometry. By Part 1 of Lemma 5, it follows that X is isometric to $L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim \phi(\tilde{u}))$.

In the case $f(D_i^{n-1}) = D_j^{n-1}$ for $i \neq j \in \{1,2\}$, set $\phi = R_{H_0} \circ f$. For each $\tilde{u} \in S_0$, $\exp_p^{-1}(\exp_p(\tilde{u})) = \{\phi^k(\tilde{u}) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, so it follows from Part 4 of Lemma 5 this set can consist of no more that $c(n,k,h,r)$-elements. It follows that $\phi^m = \mathrm{id}$ for some positive integer m possibly larger than $c(n,k,h,r)$. In particular, ϕ must be an isometry. Again by Part 1 of Lemma 5, X is isometric to $L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim R_{H_0} \circ \phi(\tilde{u}))$.

When $r = h$ we have $L_k^n(h,r) = D_k^n(r)$. This case is handled by Lemma 2.6 in [3] where they show that the identification must occur via an isometric involution. Up to an isometry of $D_k^n(r) = L_k^n(r,r)$, the conclusion is the same. □

Lemma 7. *Let $c(n,k,h,r)$ be as in Lemma 5. If $h < r$, there is a totally geodesic hyperplane $P \subset S_k^n$ that contains the geodesic through $\tilde{a}_1$ and $\tilde{a}_2$, such that if $R_P : S_k^n \to S_k^n$ is reflection over P, then X is isometric to either*

(A) $P_k^n(h,r) = L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim R_P(\tilde{u}))$,
(B) $L_k^n(h,r,\mathrm{id}) = L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim R_{H_0}(\tilde{u}))$, *or*
(C) $L_k^n(h,R,\phi_m) = L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim R_{H_0} \circ \phi_m(\tilde{u}))$, *and*
  (a) $\phi_m : \partial L_k^n(h,r) \to \partial L_k^n(h,r)$ *is an isometry which leaves S_0 invariant,*
  (b) ϕ_m *has order $m \in \{2, \ldots, c(n,k,h,r)\}$, and*
  (c) the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_m = \langle \phi_m \rangle$ acts freely and orthogonally on S_0

where $\tilde{u} \in \partial L_k^n(h,r)$

Proof. Given that X is the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of a sequence of Riemannian n-manifolds with an upper diameter bound, lower curvature bound, and lower volume bound, by Perelman's Stability Theorem, it follows that X is a topological n-manifold (see [6], Lemma 3.2).

By Lemma 6, there are two possibilities for the isometry type of X.

Case 1: X is isometric to $L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim \phi(\tilde{u}))$ where $\phi : \partial L_k^n(h,r) \to \partial L_k^n(h,r)$ is an isometric involution that fix $\tilde{q}_1$ and $\tilde{q}_2$.

Identify $L_k^n(h,r)$ with the unit disk $D^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R} \oplus J$ and the isometry ϕ with a linear involution $\phi_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\phi_2(J) = J$.

As in Lemma 2.7 of [3], because X is a manifold, there are only two possibilities: $\phi_2 = -\mathrm{id}$ or $\phi_2 = R_J$ where $R_J : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is reflection over J. This follows, as observed in [3], that since ϕ_2 is an isometric involution we can assume for some j, $\phi_2|_{\mathbb{R}^j \times \{0\}} = \mathrm{id}$ and $\phi_2|_{\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-j}} = -\mathrm{id}$. As $X = D^n/(\tilde{u} \sim \phi_2(\tilde{u}))$ where $\tilde{u} \in \partial D^n$, X must be homeomorphic to the j-fold suspension $\Sigma^j \mathbb{R}P^{n-j}$ which has the homology of a manifold only when $j = 0$ or $n-1$.

Because the isometry ϕ in Lemma 6 fix $\tilde{q}_1$ and $\tilde{q}_2$, it follows that $\phi = R_P$ where P is a hyperplane that, if $h < r$, contains the geodesic through $\tilde{a}_1$ and $\tilde{a}_2$. This gives Part (A).

Case 2: X is isometric to $L_k^n(h,r)/(\tilde{u} \sim R_{H_0} \circ \phi(\tilde{u}))$ where $\phi : \partial L_k^n(h,r) \to \partial L_k^n(h,r)$ is an isometry that has finite order and fix $\tilde{q}_1$ and $\tilde{q}_2$.

Let C be the unit circle in $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}$. For $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, let $s_j := \{e^{i\theta} \in C \mid 2\pi\frac{j-1}{m} \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\frac{j}{m}\}$. Let $J = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and identify $S_0 \subset H_0$ with the unit $(n-2)$-sphere S_J^{n-2} in J and the isometry ϕ with an isometry $\phi_m \in O(n-1)$ that satisfies $(\phi_m)^m = \mathrm{id}$ for some positive integer m.

Now let $\varphi_m : \mathbb{C} \oplus J \to \mathbb{C} \oplus J$ be an isometry of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ defined by

$$\varphi_m(z, x) = (e^{\frac{2\pi}{m}i}z, \phi_m(x)).$$

By topologically identifying $L_k^n(h, r)$ with $s_1 * S_J^{n-2}$, it follows from the definition of φ_m and Part (2) of Lemma 6 that

(1) φ_m has order m,
(2) the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_m = \langle\varphi_m\rangle$ acts orthogonally on the round sphere $S^n := C * S_J^{n-2}$ where $*$ is the spherical join.
(3) $X = L_k^n(h, r)/(\tilde{u} \sim R_{H_0} \circ \phi(\tilde{u}))$ is homeomorphic to the quotient $S^n/\langle\varphi_m\rangle$.

Let $\pi : S^n \to S^n/\langle\varphi_m\rangle$ be the projection map. If, in addition, the action of $\langle\varphi_m\rangle$ on S^n is free, by Part (4) of Lemma 5 we have $m \in \{2 \dots, c(n, k, h, r)\}$ and so Part (C) holds. In particular, X is homeomorphic to a Lens space $S^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$.

Suppose there is a point $p \in S^n$ for which the isotropy group $G_1 = \langle\varphi_m\rangle_p \neq \mathrm{id}$. Since the action of $G_0 = \langle\varphi_m\rangle$ on S^n is linear, G_1 acts orthogonally on the $(n-1)$-sphere $S^{n-1} \subset S^n$ at distance $\pi/2$ away from p. We can then identify the space of directions at $\pi(p) \in S^n/G_0$ with the quotient S^{n-1}/G_1. Since S^n/G_0 is a manifold, it follows that this quotient S^{n-1}/G_1 is homeomorphic to S^{n-1} – which is also a manifold. Iterating this procedure we obtain a sequence of subgroups $G_k < \cdots < G_1 < G_0$ where $G_k \neq \mathrm{id}$ has the following properties:

(1) G_k fixes, point-wise, a totally geodesic $S^{k-1} \subset S^n$,
(2) G_k acts freely and orthogonally on a totally geodesic $S^{n-k} \subset S^n$ at distance $\pi/2$ away from the fixed set S^{k-1},
(3) the quotient S^{n-k}/G_k is homeomorphic to S^{n-k}.

Since G_k is cyclic, we must have $S^{n-k} = S^1$. In particular, $k = n-1$, and since $\varphi_m(z, x) = (e^{\frac{2\pi}{m}i}z, \phi_m(x))$, we have $\phi_m = \mathrm{id}$. So Part (B) holds. □

Proof of Part 2 of Theorem 1 (topological version). The identification spaces $P_k^n(h, r)$ and $L_k^n(h, r, \mathrm{id})$, topologically, are spheres. The proof of Lemma 7 shows $L_k^n(h, r, \phi_m)$ is homeomorphic to a quotient of S^n by a free and orthogonal action of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ where $m \leq c(n, h, h, r)$, thus is a Lens space. The proof now follows from Gromov's Compactness Theorem, Perelman's Stability Theorem, and Lemma 7. □

5. Smooth Perturbation of the Limits

To construct Riemannian metrics that satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1, we give smooth perturbations of $L_k^n(h, r, \phi_m)$ and $P_k^n(h, r)$, for any $\phi_m \in C(n-2, m)$ as in Example 1.

Proof of Part 3 of Theorem 1. For perturbations of $L_k^n(h, r, \mathrm{id})$ and $P_k^n(h, r)$ we follow [3]. For the hyperplane $J = H_0$ or P, define $L_{k,J}^{n,+}(r)$ to be one side of $L_k^n(h, r)$ separated by J (see Figure 3 for $L_{k,P}^{n,+}(r)$). Isometrically embed $L_{k,J}^{n,+}(r)$ into a totally geodesic $S_k^n \subset S_k^{n+1}$ and take boundaries of smooth, symmetric, convex neighborhoods of $L_{k,J}^{n,+}(r)$.

Let $\phi_m \in C(n-2,m)$. For perturbations of $L^n_k(h,r,\phi_m)$, since $m \leq c(n,k,h,r)$ we can find m points $\tilde{p}_1,\dots,\tilde{p}_m \in S^2_k$ that lie in a circle $C := S(\tilde{q},\rho) \subset S^2_k$ such that $\rho \leq \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$ is a real number and $|\tilde{p}_i\tilde{p}_{i+1}| = 2h$ (indices mod m). Let C^* be the circle of length $2mh$ in S^2_k formed by joining the segments between $\tilde{p}_i$ and $\tilde{p}_{i+1}$.

Let $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k]$ be the intrinsic radii of the boundary disks D^{n-1}_i of $L^n_k(h,r)$. Let $\mathrm{diam}\, S_0$ be the intrinsic diameter of $S_0 = D^{n-1}_1 \cap D^{n-1}_2$ with metric induced from $D^n_k(\tilde{a}_1,r)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, by using a doubly warped product metric on $[0, R-\varepsilon] \times C \times S^{n-2}$ we can construct a smooth metric g on $S^n = C * S^{n-2}$ such that induced metric on the S^{n-2} factor has constant curvature and diameter $\mathrm{diam}\, S_0 - \tau(\varepsilon)$ where $\tau(\varepsilon) \searrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Smoothly deform g on S^n to a metric g_ε such that outside of an ε–neighborhood of $\{p_1,\dots,p_m\} * S^{n-2} \subset C * S^{n-2}$, g_ε has constant curvature k. This gives a smooth metric $S^n_\varepsilon := (S^n, g_\varepsilon)$ on $S^1_\varepsilon * S^{n-2}$ where S^1_ε is a circle in S^2_k that contains the points $\tilde{p}_1,\dots,\tilde{p}_m$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and converges to C^* as $\varepsilon \to 0$. So, if $\mathbb{Z}_m$ acts on the S^1_ε factor by taking $\tilde{p}_i$ to $\tilde{p}_{i+1}$, and by $\langle \phi_{m_i} \rangle$ on the S^{n-2} factor we obtain a smooth Riemannian metric g_ε on a Lens space $S^n/\mathbb{Z}_m$ with fundamental domain converging to $L^n_k(h,r,\phi_m)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. It follows that $\mathrm{vol}\, S^n_\varepsilon/\mathbb{Z}_m \to \mathrm{vol}\, L^n_k(h,r)$. Note that $X = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} S^n_\varepsilon$ will be Riemannian if and only if $C = C^*$, C^*has diameter $\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$, and $S_0 = S^{n-2}_1$. In particular, if a Riemannian manifold M satisifies $\sec M \geq k, \mathrm{rad}\, M \leq r$, $\mathrm{sag}_r M \leq h$, and $\mathrm{vol}\, M = \mathrm{vol}\, L^n_k(h,r)$, then $k > 0$, $r = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$ and for some $m \leq c(n,k,h,r)$, $hm = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}\, S^n_k$. □

References

[1] Yu. Burago, M. Gromov, and G. Perelman, *A. D. Aleksandrov spaces with curvatures bounded below,* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 47 (1992), no. 2(284), 351, 222 (Russian, with Russian summary); English transl., Russian Math. Surveys 47 (1992), no. 2, 158.

[2] K. Grove and P. Petersen, *Bouding homotopy types by geometry*, Ann. of Math. **128** (1988), 195-206.

[3] K. Grove and P. Petersen, *Volume comparison à la Alexandrov*, Acta. Math. **169** (1992), 131-151.

[4] K. Grove and K. Shiohama, *A generalized sphere theorem*, Ann. of Math. **106** (1977), 201-211

[5] N. Li, *Volume and gluing rigidity in Alexandrov geometry,* arXiv:1110.5498 [math.DG]

[6] V. Kapovitch, *Perelman's stability theorem,* Surveys in differential geometry. **11** (2007), 103-136.

[7] G. Perelman, *Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded from below II,* preprint 1991.

[8] C. Pro, M. Sill, and F. Wilhelm, *The diffeomorphism types of manifolds with almost maximal volume*, arXiv:1201.0415 [math.DG]

[9] C. Pro, and F. Wilhelm, *Diffeomorphism Stability and Codimension Four*, in preparation

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, ON M5S 2E4
E-mail address: cpro@math.toronto.edu